122 Editorial

Quality of life

PPS provides the highest patient satisfaction rate. Patients with a reasonable erection before surgery will continue to have the same. Obviously the ability to have meaningful sexual ability also depends on the penile length left after PPS. Many patients are also able to have a normal urinary stream.

Conflict of interest

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

References

- [1] Bissada NK. Post-circumcision carcinoma of the penis: II. Surgical management. J Surg Oncol 1988;37;80-3.
- [2] Bissada NK. Conservative extirpative treatment of cancer of the penis. Urol Clin North Am 1992;19:283-90.

- [3] Bissada NK, Yakout HH, Fahmy WE, Gayed MS, Touijer AK, Greene GF, et al. Multi-institutional long-term experience with conservative surgery for invasive penile carcinoma. J Urol 2003:169:500-2
- [4] Kochhar R, Taylor B, Sangar V. Imaging in primary penile cancer: current status and future directions. Eur Radiol 2010;20:36-47.
- [5] McDougal WS. Phallic preserving surgery in patients with invasive squamous cell carcinoma of the penis. J Urol 2005;174:2218-20.
- [6] Brown CT, Minhas S, Ralph DJ. Conservative surgery for penile cancer: subtotal glans excision without grafting. BJU Int 2005:96:911-2
- [7] Bissada NK. Penile reconstruction after total penectomy or urethra-sparing total penectomy. J Urol 1987;137:1173-5.

Mohamed H. Kamel Nabil K. Bissada

Urology, University of Arkansas for Medical Sciences, 4301 W. Markham St. #540, Little Rock, AR 72205, USA

E-mail address: BissadaNabilK@uams.edu (N. Bissada)

LETTER TO THE EDITOR

Penile cosmetic preservation in the management of the invasive penile cancer

Reply by authors to the editorial comment by Kamel and Bissada

The comment by Bissada has focused on the article's main message of penile cosmetic preservation in the management of the invasive penile cancer. However, we wanted to stress the problem about the treatment of some pre-malignant and malignant but superficial and/or limited glandular diseases. The latter represent an uncertain area in the therapy of penile lesions, in which non-resolving solutions such as 'wait and see' by repeated biopsies, laser or other techniques have been proposed. This strategy is dangerous because of the potential unpredictable malignant development of the lesions. Furthermore, a 'tailored' partial excision of the lesion could easily create a disfiguring and dysfunctional scar. In these cases, total glans reconstruction would aim at being a more radical solution, but at the same time a cosmetic solution which also allows functional recovery of the penis. It is unquestionable that penis-sparing procedures were first described by Bissada, but the father of this surgery is Bracka who, through the use

of skin grafts, has given an aesthetic and functional impetus to these techniques. Many urologists are grateful to this plastic surgeon for having translated into the urological field some reconstructive concepts that previously belonged only to the world of plastic surgery [1].

Reference

[1] Bracka A. Glans resection and plastic repair. BJU Int 2009;105 2009:136-44.

Enzo Palminteri Center for Urethral and Genitalia Reconstructive Surgery, Arezzo, Italy Email address: enzo.palminteri@inwind.it

doi:10.1016/j.aju.2011.07.005